UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,623	10/06/2003	Rene Rollig	5500-92201	3337	
53806 MEYERTONS	7590 04/10/2007 . HOOD. KIVLIN. KOW	/ERT & GOETZEL (AMD)	EXAM	INER	
P.O. BOX 398	.O. BOX 398			VIDWAN, JASJIT S	
AUSTIN, TX 7	78767-0398				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/10/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		H				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/679,623	ROLLIG ET AL.	ROLLIG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jasjit S. Vidwan	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUN (6(a). In no event, however, may a ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become a	ICATION. a repty be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this can ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 De	ecember 2006	•				
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-95 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-95 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 December 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Page 4, filed 12/18/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-31 under AAPA have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Luke et al.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings were received on 12/18/2006. These drawings are acceptable.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 23, element 203 in the specification and claims is listed as "instruction fetch unit." However, as is depicted in Fig. 23, element 203 clearly points to a program counter (PC). It is unclear to the examiner as to whether the Applicant intended to cite something outside of the program counter for element 203. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2182

Claim Objections

4. The claims are objected to because they include reference characters, which are not enclosed within parentheses. Claim 6, 7, 15, 16, 28 and 29 include limitation of block counter register SMB_BCNT. It should be noted that the reference characters "SMB_BCNT" should be enclosed within parentheses.

Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

5. Claims 4-7, 13-16, 22, 25, 28-29 objected to because of the following informalities: The above-mentioned claims include quotation marks to describe a set of instructions. Applicant is advised to remove all quotations from the above-mentioned claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 1, 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The above-mentioned claims cite the limitation "instruction fetch unit (203) configured to read an instruction at an address in said memory, said address being specified by a program counter (pc)." However, as is evident by the Applicant's submitted Fig. 23, element 203 is cited as a program counter. Therefore it is unclear to the Examiner whether the instruction fetch unit and program counter are same structure or distinct elements. For the purpose of advancing the prosecution, Examiner will construe instruction fetch unit and program counter to be one in the same in order to be consistent with Applicant's specification.

Art Unit: 2182

8. Claims 6,15 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The above-mentioned claims recite "...for storing the value of a block counter register (SMB_BCNT) in said loop counter if the finite-state machine executed a "transmit data from SMB_BCNT" instruction. The said limitation is indefinite and therefore for the purpose to advancing the prosecution of the application, Examiner will construe the teachings to read, "Transmit data from block counter register" to be consistent with the Applicant's specification since "SMB_BCNT" is a block counter register.

9. Claims 7, 16 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The above-mentioned claims recite "...for storing the value of a block counter register (SMB_BCNT) in said loop counter if the finite-state machine executed a "receive data to SMB_BCNT" instruction The said limitation is indefinite and therefore for the purpose to advancing the prosecution of the application, Examiner will construe the teachings to read, "Transmit data from block counter register" to be consistent with the Applicant's specification since "SMB_BCNT" is a block counter register.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1, 3-9, 23-19 and 21-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Luke et al, U.S. Patent no: 6,505,267 [herein after Luke].
- 12. As per Claims 1, Luke teaches a SMBus message handler [see Fig. 2, element 16] comprising:

 (a) Memory [See Fig. 2, element 32 & 40 "external memory device" / "Sequencer RAM"]

 configured to store microcode comprising at least two programs [see Col. 7, Lines 58 Col. 9, Line

 12 plurality of programs include 'Register read-modify-write', 'Register read-compare-until-

Application/Control Number: 10/679,623

Art Unit: 2182

match', 'Register Write', 'Register read extract nibble', 'Wait for bulk_in byte', Wait for bulk_out byte', 'DATI Push register into bulk_in', 'DATO Push bulk_out byte', 'EPPI Read EPP data register'] each for handling a bus command protocol and comprising at least one instruction [see Col. 2, Lines 7-10 - - Also see Col. 4, Lines 66- Col. 5, Line 2].

Page 5

- (b) Interface [Col. 4, Lines 17-21] to a register [see Fig. 3, element 66] configured to identify a starting address of a program in said memory [Col. 4, Lines 34-37]
- (c) Instruction fetch unit [see Fig. 6, Element 90 also see Col. 7, Lines 17-19] configured to read an instruction at an address in said memory [Col. 9, Lines 14-20], said address being specified by a program counter [see Fig. 6, element 84]
- (d) Finite-state machine [Fig. 6, element 82] configured to receive and interpreting the instructions read by said instruction fetch unit [Col. 7, Lines 5-16] and for managing the data transfer between an SMBus interface, and a register set in compliance with said instructions read from said memory [Col. 7, Lines 28-36].
- 13. As per Claims 19, Luke teaches method for controlling an SMBus:
 - (a) Identifying a starting address of a program [Col. 4, Lines 34-37] comprising one or more instructions, said program being stored in a memory [See Fig. 2, element 32 & 40 "external memory device" / "Sequencer RAM"]
 - (b) Fetching instructions of said program [Col. 7, Lines 17-19] one after another [see Fig. 6, element 84] into a finite-state machine [Fig. 6, element 82]
 - (d) Transferring data between an SMBus interface and a register set [Col. 7, Lines 5-16] in compliance with the instruction present in said finite-state machine [Col. 7, Lines 28-36].
- 14. As per Claim 3 and 21, Luke teaches SMBus message handler [Fig. 2, element 16] further comprising an address register array comprising a plurality of starting addresses of programs stored in said memory, said register comprising an offset for pointing at a specific register in said address register array [Col. 4, Lines 31-42].

Art Unit: 2182

As per Claims 6 and 28, Luke teaches SMBus message handler [Fig. 2, element 16] further comprising a loop counter [Fig. 6, element 88] for storing the value of a block counter register in said loop counter if the finite-state machine executed a transmit data from block counter register instruction [Col. 9, Lines 5-7, "DATO Push bulk_out byte into register"]; said loop counter being decremented each time a data byte is transmitted to said SMBus interface while a "transmit data from" instruction is executed and the "transmit data from" instruction be completed when the value of said loop counter reaches zero [Col. 7, Lines 43-51].

- As per Claims 7 and 29, Luke teaches SMBus message handler [Fig. 2, element 16] further comprising a loop counter [88] and a block counter register [66] both for storing a byte received from said SMBus interface if the finite-state machine [82] executed a "receive data to block counter register" instruction [Col. 9, Lines 8-11], said loop counter [204] being decremented each time a data byte is transmitted to or received from said SMBus interface while a "received data to block counter register" instruction is executed and the "received data to" instruction being completed when the value of said loop counter reaches zero.
- 17. **As per Claims 8 and 30,** Luke teaches SMBus message handler, wherein each instruction comprises one bit indicating as to whether or not an instruction is the last instruction in the program [Col. 5, Lines 2-7].
- 18. **As per Claims 9 and 31,** Luke teaches SMBus message handler, wherein each instruction comprises one bit indicating as to whether an instruction is to be executed only once or this instruction is to be executed repeatedly until a loop counter becomes zero, wherein said loop counter is decremented each time an instruction is executed repeatedly [Col. 7, Lines 38-57].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2182

20. Claims 2, 4, 5, 20, 22-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luke and further in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (Description of prior art) herein after [AAPA].

As per Claims 2 and 20, Luke teaches the above limitations of claims 1, 10 and 10. However, Luke fails to teach a system wherein the register set complies with the ACPI specification. AAPA teaches the above deficiency of having a system wherein the register set is ACPI compliant [see AAPA, Page 7, Paragraph 2 – Page 9, Paragraph 3].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Luke with that of AAPA in order to take advantage of a more efficient power management interface with regards to the register set. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Luke with that of AAPA in order to take advantage of a more efficient power management interface with regards to the register set.

- 22. As per Claim 4 and 22, Luke as modified by AAPA above teaches SMBus message handler [Fig. 2, element 16] further comprising a buffer pointer register [Fig. 6, element 92] for pointing at one of a plurality of data registers [Fig. 3, element 66]; said finite state machine [Fig. 6, element 82] transferring data read from SMBus interface to the data register at which said buffer pointer register points if said finite-state machine interprets a "receive data to" instruction; said finite state machine transferring the data read from the data register at which said buffer pointer register points to [Col. 7, Line 58-65] said SMBus interface if said finite-state machine interprets a "transmits data from" instruction [Col. 8, Line 66-Col.9, Line 4]
- 23. As per Claims 5, 23 and 25 Luke as modified by AAPA above teaches SMBus message handler wherein the finite-state machine causes said buffer pointer register to be incremented each time a "transmit data to" or a "transmit data from" instruction is executed [Col. 7, Lines 52-57]
- 24. As per Claims 24 and 27, Luke as modified by AAPA above teaches a method wherein said transferring step further comprising decrementing a loop counter and checking as to whether said loop counter has a value of zero [Col. 8, Lines 3-13].

Application/Control Number: 10/679,623

Art Unit: 2182

25. **As per Claim 26**, Luke as modified by AAPA above teaches a method wherein said transferring step further comprising incrementing of said buffer pointer register [Col. 7, Lines 44-50]

Page 8

- 26. Claims 10, 12, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luke et al and further in view of Newton's Telecom Dictionary [herein Newton].
- 27. **As per Claim 10**, Luke teaches a system [Bridge circuit] for transmitting and receiving data over SMBus comprising:
 - (a) Interface to memory [See Fig. 2, element 32 & 40 "external memory device" / "Sequencer RAM"] configured to store microcode comprising at least two programs [see Col. 7, Lines 58 Col. 9, Line 12 plurality of programs include 'Register read-modify-write', 'Register read-compare-until-match', 'Register Write', 'Register read extract nibble', 'Wait for bulk_in byte', Wait for bulk_out byte', 'DATI Push register into bulk_in', 'DATO Push bulk_out byte', 'EPPI Read EPP data register'] each for handling a bus command protocol and comprising at least one instruction [see Col. 2, Lines 7-10 - Also see Col. 4, Lines 66- Col. 5, Line 2].
 - (b) Interface [Col. 4, Lines 17-21] to a register [see Fig. 3, element 66] configured to identify a starting address of a program in said memory [Col. 4, Lines 34-37]
 - (c) Instruction fetch unit [see Fig. 6, Element 90 also see Col. 7, Lines 17-19] configured to read an instruction at an address in said memory [Col. 9, Lines 14-20], said address being specified by a program counter [see Fig. 6, element 84]
- (d) Finite-state machine [Fig. 6, element 82] configured to receive and interpreting the instructions read by said instruction fetch unit [Col. 7, Lines 5-16] and for managing the data transfer between an SMBus interface, and a register set in compliance with said instructions read from said memory [Col. 7, Lines 28-36].

Luke teaches the above limitations, however fails to teach a system wherein the said bridge circuit is a integrated circuit chip. However, Newton teaches the benefit of having the above system on a chip [see Newton, "System-on-chip"]. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention would have been motivated to combine the two teachings in order to dramatically lower power, cost and real estate [see Newton, Page 778, Paragraph 1 – 'SOC']. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2182

time of Applicant's invention would have been motivated to combine the two teachings in order to dramatically lower power, cost and real estate [see Newton, Page 778, Paragraph 1 – 'SOC'].

- As per Claim 12, Luke teaches SMBus message handler [Fig. 2, element 16] further comprising an address register array comprising a plurality of starting addresses of programs stored in said memory, said register comprising an offset for pointing at a specific register in said address register array [Col. 4, Lines 31-42].
- 29. As per Claims 15, Luke teaches SMBus message handler [Fig. 2, element 16] further comprising a loop counter [Fig. 6, element 88] for storing the value of a block counter register in said loop counter if the finite-state machine executed a transmit data from block counter register instruction [Col. 9, Lines 5-7, "DATO Push bulk_out byte into register"]; said loop counter being decremented each time a data byte is transmitted to said SMBus interface while a "transmit data from" instruction is executed and the "transmit data from" instruction be completed when the value of said loop counter reaches zero [Col. 7, Lines 43-51].
- 30. As per Claims 16, Luke teaches SMBus message handler [Fig. 2, element 16] further comprising a loop counter [88] and a block counter register [66] both for storing a byte received from said SMBus interface if the finite-state machine [82] executed a "receive data to block counter register" instruction [Col. 9, Lines 8-11], said loop counter [204] being decremented each time a data byte is transmitted to or received from said SMBus interface while a "received data to block counter register" instruction is executed and the "received data to" instruction being completed when the value of said loop counter reaches zero.
- 31. **As per Claims 17,** Luke teaches SMBus message handler, wherein each instruction comprises one bit indicating as to whether or not an instruction is the last instruction in the program [Col. 5, Lines 2-7].
- 32. **As per Claims 18,** Luke teaches SMBus message handler, wherein each instruction comprises one bit indicating as to whether an instruction is to be executed only once or this instruction is to be executed repeatedly until a loop counter becomes zero, wherein said loop counter is decremented each time an instruction is executed repeatedly [Col. 7, Lines 38-57].

Art Unit: 2182

33. Claims 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luke et al and Newton's Telecom Dictionary [herein after Newton] and further in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (background) [herein after AAPA].

As per Claims 11, Luke and Newton teach the above limitations of claims 10. However, Luke fails to teach a system wherein the register set complies with the ACPI specification. AAPA teaches the above deficiency of having a system wherein the register set is ACPI compliant [see AAPA, Page 7, Paragraph 2 – Page 9, Paragraph 3].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Luke with that of AAPA in order to take advantage of a more efficient power management interface with regards to the register set. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Luke with that of AAPA in order to take advantage of a more efficient power management interface with regards to the register set.

- As per Claim 13, Luke and Newton as modified by AAPA above teaches SMBus message handler [Fig. 2, element 16] further comprising a buffer pointer register [Fig. 6, element 92] for pointing at one of a plurality of data registers [Fig. 3, element 66]; said finite state machine [Fig. 6, element 82] transferring data read from SMBus interface to the data register at which said buffer pointer register points if said finite-state machine interprets a "receive data to" instruction; said finite state machine transferring the data read from the data register at which said buffer pointer register points to [Col. 7, Line 58-65] said SMBus interface if said finite-state machine interprets a "transmits data from" instruction [Col. 8, Line 66-Col.9, Line 4]
- 32. As per Claims 14 Luke and Newton as modified by AAPA above teaches SMBus message handler wherein the finite-state machine causes said buffer pointer register to be incremented each time a "transmit data to" or a "transmit data from" instruction is executed [Col. 7, Lines 52-57]

Art Unit: 2182

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jasjit S. Vidwan whose telephone number is (571) 272-7936. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KIM HUYNH can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either
Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR
only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions
on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated
information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSV 3/29/07

> KIM HUYNH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

> > 3/30/67